

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/603,836	06/25/2003	Lieven Wulteputte	216397	2730	
23460	7590 06/15/2005		EXAMINER		
LEYDIG VO	OIT & MAYER, LTD		HOGAN, JAMES SEAN		
	ENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE STETSON AVENUE	2 4900	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	IL 60601-6780		3752		

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				98		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/603,836	WULTEPUTTE, LIEVEN			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		James S. Hogan	3752			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply openiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period preply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ı n .		
Status						
1) 🏹	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 J	lanuary 2005				
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) \boxtimes This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 7-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 7-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 June 2003</u> is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specific and the spe	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notice	ot(s) De of References Cited (PTO-892) De of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Per No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/26/2004</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner's Statement

It is with regret that the previous Office Action's allowance of claims 7 and 8, dated August 19, 2004, has been withdrawn in view of new art by which the content of said claims has been compared in the paragraphs below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: a step of comparison between a desired target pressure flow rate versus an actual measured pressure flow rate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,950,441 to Mahableshwarker et al.
- 4. Regarding claim 9, Mahableshwarker et al. ('441) discloses a control system for monitoring characteristics of one or more spray nozzles (56) used in a flue cooling system having a liquid supply line (48) coupled with the one or more spray nozzles (56) including a flow meter (52) disposed to sense a flow rate of liquid supplied to the spray nozzles, a compressed air supply line (40) including an air regulation section (42) disposed to provide compressed air to the spray nozzles', and a spray controller (30)

coupled with the flow meter and the air regulation section, the controller being disposed to provide an output signal (see Fig. 2) to indicate a performance characteristic of the spray nozzles based on the measured liquid pressure and/or measured air pressure. An adjustable liquid flow valve (47) is located in the liquid spray supply line disposed to receive a control signal from the controller to adjust the amount of liquid supplied to the spray nozzles; and a temperature sensor (22) located in proximate relation to the flue gas and disposed to provide a temperature sensing signal to the controller, where the controller, in response to receipt of the temperature sensing signal, adjusts control signal supplied to the liquid flow valve (Fig 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 7-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S Patent No. 5,950,441 to Mahableshwarker et al in view of U.S Patent No. 5,677,500 to Ackerley et al.
- 7. Regarding claims 7-8, the device of Mahableshwarker et al. ('441) teaches a method of monitoring the operating conditions of a multitude of spray nozzles used in the cooling of a flue. In the subroutines outlined in Fig. 5 and Fig 6 the steps 300-318 for liquid, and steps 400-412 for air, an outline of a process for monitoring the pressure

flow rate of a bank of nozzles, and comparing the measured actual liquid and air flow rates versus a desired rates is detailed. Mahableshwarker et al. ('441) does not teach the generation of an error message during its monitoring routines. Ackerley et al. ('500) teaches a pressure reduction system test where in Fig. 3A, a routing is charted where a deviation to a measured pressure generates an error message for "faulty valves" (Col. 2, line 32-42). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the subroutine flowchart of Mahableshwarker et al. ('441) for the monitoring of air and water pressure to include the generation of an error message of Ackerley et al. ('500) so that a control operator can be made cognizant of a malfunction.

Claims 10-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S Patent No. 5,950,441 to Mahableshwarker et al in view of U.S Patent No. 5,677,500 to Ackerley et al. and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,193,406 to Wolf et al.

8. The rejection of claim 9 above serves as the basis for the following.

Mahableshwarker et al. ('441) does not teach the generation of a message indicative of a malfunction. Ackerley et al. ('500) teaches a pressure reduction system test where in Fig. 3A, a routing is charted where a deviation to a measured pressure generates an error message. Neither Mahableshwarker et al. ('441) or Ackerley et al. ('500) teach a process of pressure and volume rate comparison by which a determination of worn or plugged nozzles can be made. Wolf et al. ('406) teaches a process of pressure and volume rate comparison by which a determination of worn or plugged nozzles can be made (. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention

was made to modify the subroutine flowchart of Mahableshwarker et al. ('441) for the monitoring of air and water pressure to include the generation of an error message of Ackerley et al. ('500) and the determination of a worn or plugged liquid or air nozzle technique of Wolf et al. ('406) so that a control operator can be made cognizant of a malfunction, and to be able to pinpoint the malfunction's origin.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure is as follows:
 - U.S. Patent No. 6,045,056 to Tardoni, disclosing an optimized spray device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James S. Hogan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4902. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:00a-4:00p EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Scherbel can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/603,836

Art Unit: 3752

Page 6

JSH 06/13/2005

David A. Scherbel
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700